

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2270 HB	Title: Sentencing/property crimes	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	--	---

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Yvonne Walker	Phone: 360-786-7841	Date: 06/19/2015
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 06/22/2015
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 06/22/2015
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 2270 HB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill addresses the property crime rate in Washington.

The bill responds to the findings of the state justice reinvestment task force by:

- Changing sentencing policy to require supervision of certain people convicted of property offenses;
- Providing treatment, if needed, and programs to reduce recidivism; and
- Providing additional support to local governments and victims of property crime.

A new Section 7 would be added for RCW 9.94A. that would set new sentencing requirements for property offenses.

A new Section 8 would be added to RCW 9.94A that would create a table identifying the seriousness level of property offenses. The seriousness level of the property offenses included in the new table come from the current table under RCW 9.94A.515.

Using different sentencing requirements for property offenses would not result in additional workload for the courts.

Section 13 would create the Washington justice commission and would require two superior court judges to be voting members. The chief justice of the supreme court or the chief justice's designee would be required to be an ex officio member. Serving as a voting member of the commission would be considered part of current duties of the appointed superior court judges.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact